

It is a controversial discussion between scholars and pupils for being experts in a particular field whether we ought to probe the subject in enormous data reservoirs, or exploring in a single solid source of information is adequate. Some people strongly opine that we must search for various resources to significantly perceive the concepts. Nevertheless, I vigorously deem that it is more efficient to study a specific resource of data to reach the pinnacle of the content because of two reasons.

At the beginning of this, I endorse this idea because I strongly believe that studying different resources can be baffling. The different authors witness the contents with their own perspectives. Additionally, these different points of view can reject each other and subsequently make us completely confused. In other words, if we read a single outstanding book we can infer more conveniently and accurately. For instance, when I was a student, I ~~should had to~~ research divisive topics in history class. I endeavored to aggregate a huge data to attain a result for that purpose. As I was passionate to obtain my data from different resources, it was inevitable to study many books which were not as valid as I expected and I was baffled because of different comments about a single event. At least, my presentation in school ~~has been was~~ ruined terribly.

Secondly, I deeply ~~guess believe/hold~~ that striving to find different data reservoirs is time-wasting. Some texts are repetitious and it is not essential to spend our time on them. We can save our time by concentrating on a resource which has achieved astonishing credit by individuals who subtly ~~critics~~ critique scientific resources.

In conclusion, we can say that **not only is** reading different resources ~~is~~ not beneficial but ~~also rather~~ it could be overwhelming and ~~disgusting~~ disappointing. I hope someday all the people prosper in their careers by utilizing valid resources.